2017 Performance Improvement Report

STRATEGIC PRIORITY

 3. Improve efficiency and decision-making

|  |
| --- |
| **Project Name** |
| Enhance the *Annals of Saudi Medicine* Peer-Review Process |
| **Site** | **Department** |
| Riyadh | Academic and Training Affairs (ATA), Scientific Publications Office |
|  |
| **Project Status** | **Project Start Date** | **Project End Date** |
| Completed | 01-01-2016 | 12-31-2016 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Problem:** Why was the project needed?The *Annals of Saudi Medicine* (ASM)submission and peer review process takes 150 days from submission of the manuscript to final decision on publication status. In order to smooth the workflow process and ensure rapid publication, the ASM has concentrated on improving the ability to find suitable peer reviewers by activating the Reviewer Locator feature under the ScholarOneTM submission system and ensuring the availability and responsiveness of the peer reviewers. | **Aims:** What will the project achieve?To improve the ASM submission and peer-review process by decreasing the turnaround time from submission to final decision from 150 to 30 days before the end of 2016. |
| **Benefits/Impact:** What is the improvement outcome?*(check all that apply)*[ ]  Contained or reduced costs[x]  Improved productivity[x]  Improved work process[x]  Improved cycle time[ ]  Increased customer satisfaction[ ]  Other (please explain) Click or tap here to enter text. | **Quality Domain:** Which of the domains of healthcare quality does this project support?*(Select only one)***Efficient** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Measures:** Performance metrics to be evaluated | **Targets:** Expected outcomes |
| Turnaround time from submission to final decision | Within 30 days |

 |
| **Interventions:** Overview of key steps/work completed* The ASM has improved its peer-review process to ensure rapid publication.
* All manuscripts are subject to a strict peer-review process involving members of the Editorial Board and external reviewers with expertise in the subject matter.
* The process starts with an initial review by a scientist who makes an initial assessment of suitability for publication in the ASM.
* Reviewing editor makes an immediate decision or invites external reviewers, who are blinded to the manuscript author(s), to assess the manuscript further, recommend any revisions, and offer their opinion on acceptability.
 |
| **Results:** Insert relevant graphs and charts to illustrate improvement pre and post project*(insert relevant graphs, data, charts, etc.)***Improvement of the *Annals of Saudi Medicine* workflow process.** ../../Desktop/Screen%20Shot%202018-03-12%20at%206.23.45%20PM**Improvement of the *Annals of Saudi Medicine* workflow process.** Macintosh HD:Users:AmalG:Downloads:annualreport2016 (1):Figure 7b.png**500 manuscripts within 30 days** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Lead** | **Team Members** |
| **Name** *(person accountable for project)* | **Names***(persons involved in project)* |
| Prof. Nasser Al-Sanea, Editor-in-Chief ofASM | Dr. Yaser Adi, ScientistAmal Al-Ghammas, Editorial Supervisor and Senior Editorial AssistantReina Tejano-Berina, Desktop PublisherRaquel Glorioso-Rivera, Editorial AssistantKimberly Cristobal, Editorial AssistantJanelle Vales-Yasay, Editorial Assistant |